

Martin Häfner Owner of Häfner Gewichte GmbH

Received his degree of Diploma Engineer in mechanical engineering from University of Applied Science of Aalenand his degree of Diploma Engineer in industrial engineering and management from University of Applied Science of Esslingen. Over the past decades, he has led company Häfner to become one of the market leaders in manufacturing of high-precision weights and masses, including OIML class E1 and "E0". As early as 1983, he began to set up thein-house mass laboratory "MASSCAL" for Häfner Gewichte. For over 20 years he ishead of the DAkkS-accredited calibration laboratory in accordance with ISO 17025 and since 2011 MASSCAL is accredited for calibration of OIML class E1 and for determination of volume and density. Häfner is a member of the DKD (German Calibration Service) since 2000. Here he is actively involved in many DKD subcommittees in the preparation of expert reports on digital calibration certificates (DCC) for weights and balances, in the presentation of the substitute load procedure and in determination of CMCs for balances. He was significantly involved in the development of the mass calibration software "ScalesNet" in cooperation with the company Maro. Moreover, he has been providing his customers with SimplyCal" calibration software for non-automatic weighing instruments (NAWI) in accordance with EURAMET CG 18 for more than 10 years. In the cooperation project "TransMet Si-kg", Häfner is a partner of PTB in the transfer of the redefinition of the kg based on the silicon sphere to the international world of mass. Häfner was awarded a price for this project. Finally, he is a teacher and consultant in mass metrology training and leads balance calibration laboratories to ISO 17025 accreditation.



16-18.04.2024, Radom, Poland



ČESKÝ METROLOGICH INSTITUT



METROLOGY SYMPOSIUM DIGITALIZATION AND AUTOMATION IN MASS METROLOGY

Third Edition: Future and New Solutions



CALIBRATION AND QUALIFICATION OF MASS COMPARATORS





Content

- 1. Definition of a Mass Comparator
- 2. Types and Weighing Systems of Mass Comparators
- 3. Differential Weighing Method
- 4. Influence Factors and disturbances
- 5. Calibration Parameters of Mass Comparators
- 6. Criteria for Partial Calibration Ranges
- 7. Calibration of Repeatability
- 8. Calibration of Eccentricity
- 9. Exchange Errors
- 10. Qualification of Mass Comparators
- 11. ScalesNet Mass Calibration Software
- 12. Conclusion





A mass comparator is a balance with



- best possible resolution n
- smallest readability d
- smallest repeatability s

for determination of smallest mass differences.



2.1. Types of Mass Comparators





 Automatic Mass Comparator with Handling System



• Robotic Mass Comparator









• Full Range Mass Comparator

1ETROLOGY SYMPOSIUM ISITALIZATION AND AUTOMATION IN MASS METROLOGY Third Edition: Future and New Solutions

- Multi-interval Mass Comparator
- Window Range Mass Comparator









- Calibration of Mass Standards and Weights (used as Mass Comparator)
- Differential Weighing using a Reference Object (used as Mass Comparator or as High Performance Balance)
- Absolute Weighing (used as High Performance Balance)



High performance balances should be calibrated according to the calibration guide for non-automatic balances "EURAMET CG 18":

- Measurements of sensitivity, repeatability, eccentricity, errors of indication (linearity)
- Some industrial applications are: gas bottle filling, filter weighing, formulation, smallest mass or force changes in scientific research



A reference object (sample or substance) is compared against a test object:

1. Use as Mass Comparator

Reference mass and test mass are within 1% of nominal mass values.

2. Use as High Performance Balance The mass difference is larger than 1% of nominal mass.



3.2. Determination of the weighing difference Δm



METROLOGY SYMPOSIUM DIGITALIZATION AND AUTOMATION IN MASS METROLOGY Third Edition: Future and New Solutions

RÁDWÁG ČESKÝ 16-18.04.2024, Radom, Poland

4.1. Influence factors and disturbances

METROLOGY SYMPOSIUM





Weight calibration with differential weighing method

- Determination of mass m_T or conventional mass value m_{cT}
- and of it's expanded uncertainty: $U = k^* u_c(m_T)$

where
$$u_c(m_T)^2 = u_A(m_T)^2 + u_B(m_T)^2$$

with
$$u_A = u_A(\overline{\Delta m_w}) = s_n = \frac{s_w}{\sqrt{n}}$$

 s_w is the empirical standard deviation of a single measurement value and s_n is the empirical standard deviation of the mean of n single values



Requirements for a coverage factor k = 2, corresponding to a level of confidence of 95,45%

- 1. Normal distribution of type A and sufficient reliability
- Sufficient reliability is depending on the degrees of freedom.
 A repeatability test must be applied not less than
 6 (optimal 10) differential weighing values.



- Repeatability Test using ABBA- or ABA-Method
- Eccentricity Test using any drift compensated method
- Optional: Sensitivity Test





- Nominal value of the calibration objects
- Geometric size and shape of the calibration objects
- Required calibration uncertainties for the test objects

Example:

full range mass comparator UMA 5, d = 0,1 μ g, calibration objects: 1 mg – 5 g, OIML class E1:

1.range: $1 \text{ mg} - \le 20 \text{ mg}$ (Criteria: sizes and required uncertainties)

```
required standard deviation s_w < 0,0007 \ \mu g
```

```
2.range: > 20 mg - \le 500 mg (Criteria: sizes and shapes)
```

required standard deviation $s_w < 0,0009 \ \mu g$

```
3.range: > 500 mg – \leq 5 g (Criteria: sizes, shapes and uncertainties) required standard deviation s<sub>w</sub> < 0,0023 µg
```



Preconditions for Repeatability Test

- Divide full weighing range into significant partial calibration ranges
- Measure 10 weighing cycles each on at least 3 different days using A-B-B-A- or A-B-A- method
- Take care having always normal environmental conditions
- Use 2 different test weights A and B with same nominal value (app. to Max. of partial weighing range)
- Do not exchange the weights at complete calibration process



In practice weight calibrations are done with less than 6 differential weighing cycles. In such case pooled standard deviation s_p should be used instead of s_w for determination of u(A)

$$s_p = s(\overline{\Delta m}) = \sqrt{\frac{\sum_{j=1}^q (n_j - 1) \cdot s_j^2 (\overline{\Delta m_j})}{\sum_{j=1}^q (n_j - 1)}}$$

with
$$s(\overline{\Delta m_j}) = \sqrt{\frac{1}{n-1} \cdot \sum_{i=1}^n (\Delta m_{ij} - \overline{\Delta m_j})^2}$$

and
$$\overline{\Delta m_j} = \frac{1}{n} \cdot \sum_{i=1}^n \Delta m_{ij} = \frac{1}{n} \cdot \sum_{i=1}^n \left[\left(-I_{A_1 i j} + I_{B_1 i j} + I_{B_2 i j} - I_{A_2 i j} \right) / 2 \right]$$



7.3. Sample of Pooled Standard Deviation

Balance-Ident.No. 30		Weighing Range-No.:		2	Number of ranges	3		
	from	0		to	500 mg	d=	0,0001	mg
	Model:	UMA 5		Manufacturer:	Radwag	Serial.No.:	B343917436	
etermina	ation o	f poolec	l standard dev	viation s _P :				
			1. day (q = 1)	Date:	2. day (q = 2)	Date:	3. day (q = 3)	Date:
no	minal v	alue m₀ :	500 mg	12.02.2024	500 mg	14.02.2024	500 mg	15.02.202
tempertature t in °C :		22,64	operator:	22,62	operator:	22,57	operator:	
rel. humidity h in % :		45,91	Tümmler	46,09	Tümmler	45,98	Tümmler	
air pressure p in hPa :			963,69		979,70		979,67	
air density ρ_a in kg/m3 :			1,12958		1,14849		1,14867	
r	load cyc	le type	l _{Ri} ; l _{Ti} in mg	∆l _i in mg	I _{Ri} ; I _{Ti} in mg	∆l _i in mg	l _{Ri} ; l _{Ti} in mg	∆l _i in mg
1	R	1	500,01790		500,01660		500,01810	
1	T	1	500,02770		500,02650		500,02780	
1	T ₂	2	500,02700		500,02620		500,02740	
1	R	2	500,01790	0,009450	500,01710	0,009500	500,01840	0,00935
10	P		500.01730		500 01640		500 01700	
10	T.	9	500,02760		500,01040		500,01730	
10	•1 T ₂	9	500 02700		500,02630		500 02740	
10	R ₂	20	500,01810	0,009600	500,01750	0,009650	500,01870	0,00945
an of weighing difference			$\Delta m_{qj} =$	0,0096965		0,00964000		0,00958300
andard deviation per day			s(∆m _{qj}) =	0,000138164		9,55685E-05		0,000129876
riance per day			v(∆m _{qj}) =	1,90892E-08		9,13333E-09		1,68678E-08
ooled standard deviation s _P							s _P =	0,00012



Manual Mass Comparators without and with centering systems

- Use a nominal value at app. maximum capacity of used partial range
- Use a single test load *L_{ecc}* for testing
- Eccentricity test is carried out with drift compensated method and with reduced offcentre distance (by only a few millimeters):

1. middle2. front left3. middle4. rear left5. middle6. rear right7. middle8. front right9. middle

$$u_e = u(\Delta m_{ecc}) = I \cdot |\Delta I_{ecci}|_{max} / (2L_{ecc}\sqrt{3})$$

If calibration process is done with single weights the eccentricity error is included in repeatability test : $u_e = 0$

Normally an eccentricity test with centering systems is not required: $u_e = 0$



Mechanical centering systems

Hanging pan self-centering pan



















RÁDWÁG CESKY 16-18.04.2024, Radom, Poland



Exchange errors of automatic and robotic mass comparators

- Exchange errors are special types of eccentricity loading effects.
- Normally exchange errors are systematical and be immediately corrected.

The reason is based on different structural position tolerances of the change positions in relation to each other or in relation to the load introduction to the mass comparator.

 Exchange errors can have more than just mechanical causes. Temperature differences between the magazine positions, the handling tools and the weighing chamber can cause "replacement errors". These are usually not only systematic and must additionally be taken into account by an uncertainty contribution.



Determination with r =10 weighing cycles with "normal" and exchanged loading of the reference and test weight positions.

The following mean value pairs (a+b) result for each of the n test weight positions:

$$\overline{\Delta I_{V_{a_n}}} = \frac{1}{r} \sum_{i=1}^r \Delta I_{a_i}$$
 and $\overline{\Delta I_{V_{b_n}}} = \frac{1}{r} \sum_{i=1}^r \Delta I_{b_i}$

with	n=	test weight position			
	a=	"normal" loading			
	b=	exchanged loading			

Systematic exchange error of position n:
$$f_{T_n} = \frac{\Delta I_{V_{a_n}} + \Delta I_{V_{b_n}}}{2}$$

Exchange-corrected indication difference of position n: $\Delta I_{T_{i_n}} = \Delta I_{i_n} - f_{T_n}$



If the exchange deviation f_{T_n} is not systematic but random, following uncertainty contribution has to be included to the weighing process.

A rectangular distribution must be assumed:

 $u(\Delta m_{\rm ecc}) = f_{T_n} / (\sqrt{3})$

This eccentricity uncertainty $u(\Delta m_{ecc})$ can be combined with standard deviation s(w) of the weighing process:

$$u_A = u_A(\overline{\Delta m_w}) = s_n = \frac{\sqrt{u(\Delta m_{\rm ecc})^2 + {s_w}^2}}{\sqrt{n}}$$

METROLOGY SYMPOSIUM Third Edition: Future end New Solutions 10.1. Qualification of Mass Comparators

- A. Requirement of OIML R111-1:2004: $u_c(m_T) = \frac{U(m_T)}{k} = \frac{U(m_T)}{2} \le \frac{1}{6}mpe$
- B. Guidelines based on practical experience:

1.
$$u_A(\overline{\Delta m_w}) \le \frac{3}{5}u_c(m_T)$$

2.
$$u(m_R) = u_b = u_{ba} \le \frac{1}{3}u_c(m_T)$$

C. Requirement for Weighing Process and Repeatability of Mass Comparator:

$$u_A = u_A(\overline{\Delta m_w}) = s_n = \frac{s_w}{\sqrt{n}} \le \frac{3}{5}u_c(m_T) = \frac{1}{10}mpe$$

$$s_w = s_p = \frac{1}{10}mpe \cdot \sqrt{n}$$

Example for Qualification of Mass Comparator

Example: 100 g, class E2 (mpe = 0,16mg), 2 x ABBA, mass comparator: WAY 500.5Y.K0: Max = 520 g, d = 0,01 mg, s_{specified} = 0,02 mg

Requirements for calibration process:

Ibird Edition: Future and New Solutio

A.
$$u_c(m_T) \le \frac{1}{6}mpe = \frac{1}{6} \cdot 0,16 mg = 0,027 mg$$

B. 1.
$$u_A(\overline{\Delta m_w}) \le \frac{3}{5}u_c(m_T) = \frac{3}{5} \cdot 0,0267 \text{ mg} = 0,016 \text{ mg}$$

2.
$$u(m_R) = u_b = u_{ba} \le \frac{1}{3}u_c(m_T) = \frac{1}{3} \cdot 0,027 mg = 0,009 mg$$

C.
$$s_{w_{max}} = s_{p_{max}} = \frac{1}{10}mpe \cdot \sqrt{n} = \frac{1}{10} \cdot 0,16 \cdot \sqrt{2} mg = 0,023 mg$$

Result: WAY 500.5Y.KO fulfils the requirements for calibration of 100 g, class E2.

11.1. ScalesNet - Mass Calibration Software



METROLOGY SYMPOSIUM

RÁDWÁG ČESKÝ 16-18.04.2024, Radom, Poland



ScalesNet - Qualification of Mass Comparators





12. Conclusion

- What is the use? mass comparator or high performance balance
- Which type, weighing system and weighing range is required
- What are the influence and disturbance factors
- Check the environmental conditions
- Devide the full weighing range in partial calibration ranges
- Which tests for calibration of the comparator are required: repeatability, eccentricity, exchange, sensitivity
- Qualify the mass comparator
- Which pooled standard deviation s_p or s_w is needed
- Reduce errors in calibration by using a mass calibration software



METROLOGY SYMPOSIUM DIGITALIZATION AND AUTOMATION IN MASS METROLOGY

Third Edition: Future and New Solutions

Thank you for your attention

www.radwag.com